

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

 $\mathcal{W}$ 

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

|  | ,               |                      |                     |                  |  |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| APPLICATION NO.                                      | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
| 10/706,332 11/12/2003                                |                 | Knut Heyden          | 85934.000032        | 6030             |  |
| 23387<br>Stephen B. Sala                             | 7590 11/20/2007 |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| Harter, Secrest                                      | & Emery LLP     |                      | KATCHEVES, BASIL S  |                  |  |
| 1600 Bausch & Lomb Place<br>Rochester, NY 14604-2711 |                 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|  | ·               |                      | 3633                |                  |  |
|  |                 |                      |                     |                  |  |
|  |                 |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE ·  |  |
|  |                 |                      | 11/20/2007          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. |                 | Applicant(s)  |  |
|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 10/706,332      |                 | HEYDEN ET AL. |  |
|                 | Examiner        | Art Unit      |  |
|                 | Basil Katcheves | 3633          |  |
|                 | Examiner        | Art Unit      |  |

|   | Basil Katcheves   | 3633  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 09 November 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS  | APPLICATION IN CONDITION F  | OR ALLOWANCE.   |  |  |  |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:  | ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o<br>se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo          | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C              | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)             |  |  |  |
| a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. I no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL   | tension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply orig<br>than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr<br>inally set in the final Offi   | iate extension fee<br>ce action; or (2) as |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br/>a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed<br/>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of th                                     |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,   | but prior to the date of filing a brief   | will not be entered b                                     | ecause                                     |  |  |  |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beto</li></ul>  | w);   | •   | the issues for                             |  |  |  |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  | corresponding number of finally rej   | ected claims.   |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11  | 21 See attached Notice of Non-Co  | mnliant Amendment   | (PTOL-324)                                 |  |  |  |
| <u></u>   |   | impliant Amendment  | (FTOL-524).                                |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   | II be entered and an e                                    | explanation of                             |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   | it before or on the date of filing a N<br>d sufficient reasons why the affida   | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u><br>vit or other evidence i | ot be entered<br>s necessary and           |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to on<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>  | overcome all rejections under appe  | al and/or appellant fa                                    | ils to provide a                           |  |  |  |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio   | •   |   |  |  |  |  |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu<br/><u>Arguments are not convincing.</u></li> </ol>   |   | n condition for allowa                                    | nce because:                               |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 13.  Other:   | Ban Coll<br>BANK KOTE MES<br>PANKYEHANA<br>AV3633   | 1/16/07   |  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)